1 The Honorable Marsha J. Pechman 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 7 JULIE DALESSIO, an individual, Case No. 2:17-cv-00642 MJP 8 Plaintiff, Plaintiff's **Declaration** re:Reply re: 9 Motion to Compel Discovery Dkt. 52 V. 10 UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, Note on Motion Calendar: November 17, 2017 11 Defendant. 12 13 Counsel for defense has made UW's position clear in our Joint Status Conference and in their 14 report (Dkt. 15, p.4 line 12, p.5 line 1, p.5 line 24, p.6 line 4, p.6 line 11, p.7 line 12, p. 7 line 15 20, p.9 line 25), based on their judgment that "Plaintiff should be barred from seeking 16 discovery" . . . "and that discovery should be stayed pending resolution of an early summary 17 judgment motion on the merits." (Dkt. 15, p.5 line 24) They have reasserted this position, 18 stating 19 "The Majority of Plaintiff's Requests are Not Proportional to the Needs of the Case and/or Not Likely to Lead to the Discovery of Admissible Evidence. (Dkt. 55, p.5) 20 21 It's clear that UW attaches no importance to my claims, based on their repeated trivializations 22 of my claims and dismissive judgment that:

"Plaintiff's multiple requests to search for more records are irrelevant to any claim in this case. Simply put, locating more records has no relevance to the issue of whether Alison Swenson's good faith efforts to redact and release records pursuant to the PRA was sufficient. The Court should deny Plaintiff's motion to compel on all grounds for these reasons alone." (*Dkt. 55, p.4*)

I feel that UW's responses to my claims are disrespectful and meant to embarrass and intimidate me.

I believe that the UW has not made a good faith effort to respond to my discovery requests. I do not believe that it is overly burdensome to request that UW identify pertinent documents and employees. I believe that it would be less burdensome for the UW than for me to request and produce the public records, and the UW has better access to the records and the employees. The UW had no objections to my neighbor's request for records pertaining to me.

Following the UW's incomplete and misconstrued responses and objections to my first set of discovery requests, I engaged the services of a licensed attorney for help with subsequent requests. The UW's responses were even more confused and demeaning.

- On October 12, 2017, I initiated attempts to schedule a meeting regarding discovery requests.
- On October 16, I served third discovery requests, which is basically a simplified request for supplemental answers to previous requests.

¹ UW has named more than 25 relevant persons but has not provided sufficient identification.

• Counsel for Defense, Derek Chen finally agreed to a phone conference on October 23 at

On October 19, I emailed a rough draft of my motion to compel discovery to Counsel.

Prior to the meeting, I emailed a list of discovery requests that I hoped to discuss and emphasized my desire to clarify my requests, to remove any objections based on

misunder standings.

7pm.

At the meeting, Mr. Chen proposed that we agree to file a stipulated motion to extend discovery deadlines, based on his belief that judgment on UW's motion for dismissal of all claims would soon render these issues moot. I agreed to his stipulated motion with his promise to "reach out" to his client regarding these issues, and to direct discovery requests to the original custodians of my records.

- On November 1, Mr. Chen emailed requesting me to strike this motion. I responded that I was waiting to hear the responses from UW before I would know whether this would be necessary. Mr. Chen wrote that he would "touch base next week."
- On November 11, Mr. Chen emailed me to set up another meeting (for Nov. 13), and again insisted that I strike this motion prior to hearing UW's responses. I felt this was an attempt to intimidate me to strike this motion.
- On November 13, I emailed Mr. Chen my fourth set of discovery requests, which is basically a request to supplement previous incomplete responses

"hope we can discuss these RFPs and requests for supplemental answers at our meeting tonight, as well as your responses to my motion to compel discovery.

22

1	
2	D . 1 N . 1 . 17 . 2017
3	Dated: November 17, 2017
4	Signed: s/ julie dalessio
5	Julie Dalessio 1110 29th Ave.
6	Seattle, WA 98122
7	206 324 2590 juliedalessio@msn.com
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	